



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			- The state of the	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,743	06/11/2001	John E. Linville	HILB / 624C2	4326
	590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			THEISEN, MARY LYNN F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	OH 45202		1732	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/878,743	LINVILLE ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mary Lynn F. Theisen	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12/12/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the Advisor Adv					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 09 October 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the market and a second of the second					
37 GFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 GFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by restarially and in the second					
issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☑ will be entered and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		The appointed.			
Claim(s) allowed: 24-32.					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 21-23 and 35					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-20,33 and 34.					
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other: Mary Lynn F. Theisen Primary Examiner 1/8/2004					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		Mary Lynn F. Theisen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1732			